

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,755	01/05/2004	Jiin-Huey Chern Lin	LINJ3054/EM	1696
23364 7	590 10/14/2005		EXAMINER	
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC 625 SLATERS LANE			JENKINS, I	DANIEL J
FOURTH FLO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			1742	

DATE MAILED: 10/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/750,755	CHERN LIN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAN INC DATE of this communication	Daniel J. Jenkins	1742				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sneet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🖂)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 September 2005</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)[3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Applicati	on Papers						
-	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	epted or b) objected to by the I	•				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	•	• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)[/ a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
2) Notice 3) Inform Paper	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/750,755 Page 2

Art Unit: 1742

1. The Examiner has carefully considered comments made in the Interview of 9/9/05 and has reviewed the record to date. At this time, the Examiner makes a new rejection based on new art and argument, the Action accordingly not made final.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paton et al.

Paton et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed. Paton et al. discloses in TABLE 1, that know Ti-11 has a coposition of:

Application/Control Number: 10/750,755

Art Unit: 1742

6% AI;

1.5% Zr;

1.0% Mo;

2% Sn;

0.1% Si;

0.35% Bi; and

remainder Ti.

It is well established that "consisting essentially of" limits the scope of a claim to specified materials or steps "and those that do not materially affect the basic and novel characteristic(s)" of the claimed invention. *In re Herz*, 537 F.2d 549, 551-52, 190 USPQ 461, 463 (CCPA 1976). If an applicant contends that additional steps or maerials in the prior art are excluded by; the recitation of "consisting essentially of," applicant has the burden of showing that the introduction of additional steps or components would materially change the characteristics of applicant's invention. In re De Lajarte, 337 F.2d 870, 143 USPQ 256 (CCPA 1964).

In this case, the burden is upon Applicant to show that the exclusion of the additional component of Al in the amounts as disclosed materially effect the characteristic, in this case, castability. Applicant's disclosure and claim 3 allow for the addition of Zr,, Mo, Sn and Si.

Applicant has provided a comparison in the Specification of several compositions to the pending invention, but must provide a showing as to Al in this composition with Bi alone,

Application/Control Number: 10/750,755 Page 4

Art Unit: 1742

and as per claim 3, with the additional alloying elements, materially affects the castability characteristic disclosed as an unexpected result.

5. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Kimura et al.

Kimura et al discloses the invention substantially as claimed at Table 1, No. 30.

Kimura et al. discloses a titanium alloy comprising:

0.5%S;

0.3%Te;

1.0%REM;

0.5% Pb;

0.5% Bi; and

remainder Ti.

In this case, the burden is upon Applicant to show that the exclusion of the additional components of S, Te, REM and PB in the amounts as disclosed materially effect the characteristic, in this case, castability.

Applicant has provided a comparison in the Specification of several compositions to the pending invention, but must provide a showing as to the additional elements in this composition with Bi alone materially affects the castability characteristic disclosed as an unexpected result.

Art Unit: 1742

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel J. Jenkins whose telephone number is 571-272-1242. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH6:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1242. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Darriel J. Jenkins Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742